1	GEOFFREY A. HANSEN	
2	Acting Federal Public Defender VARELL L. FULLER Assistant Federal Public Defender	
3	160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 575 San Jose, CA 95113	
4	Telephone: (408) 291-7753	
5	Counsel for Defendant HERNANDEZ-GARO	CIA
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 11-00897-EJD
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE
13	vs.	AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
14	JOSE HERNANDEZ-GARCIA,))
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
19	Defendant Jose Hernandez-Garcia, by and through Assistant Federal Public Defender	
20	Varell L. Fuller, and the United States, by and through Special Assistant United States Attorney	
21	Ann Marie Ursini, hereby stipulate that, with	the Court's approval, the status hearing currently
22	set for Monday, August 6, 2012, at 1:30 p.m.,	shall be continued to Monday, September 17, 2012
23	at 1:30 p.m.	
24	The reason for the continuance is to po	ermit the parties additional time to finalize the
25	terms of a proposed disposition, and to effecti	ively prepare in relation to the aforementioned
26	ongoing discussions to resolve this matter.	
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order No. CR 11-00897- EJD	1

1	For the foregoing reasons, the parties jointly request and agree to an exclusion of the time	
2	between August 6, 2012, and September 17, 2012, under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §	
3	3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), for effective preparation by defense counsel.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5		
6	Dated: August 1, 2012 /s/	
7	VARELL L. FULLER Assistant Federal Public Defender	
8	Assistant i edetai i uone Betendei	
9	Dated: August 1, 2012/s/ANN MARIE URSINI	
10	Special Assistant United States Attorney	
11		
12		
13	// //	
14	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
15	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY	
16		
17	Monday, September 17, 2012, at 1:30 p.m.	
18	THE COURT FINDS that failing to exclude the time between August 6, 2012, and	
19	September 17, 2012, would unreasonably deny the parties reasonable time necessary for	
20	effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §	
21	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
22	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time	
23	between August 6, 2012, and September 17, 2012, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act	
24	outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.	
25	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between August 6, 2012, and	
26		
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order	

1	September 17, 2012, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C
2	§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	D. (. A. A.) (2. 2012)
5	Dated: August 3, 2012
6	THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA
7	United States District Court Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	